



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,520	07/20/2001	Samuel Farchione	FSP-10002/08	2097
25006	7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			STALLARD, JOSEPH A	
PO BOX 702 TROY, MI	BOX 7021 DY, MI 48007-7021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , ,			3715	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/910,520	FARCHIONE, SAMUEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
ē	·	J. Andrew Stallard	3715	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. the timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/8/2 This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters,		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-43 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			•
. —	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
	•			
	t(s) le of References Cited (PTO-892) le of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nil Date	
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment filed April 8, 2005, claim 15 is canceled and

claims 1-14 and 16-43 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 8,

2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-14 and 16-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In order for a claim to be statutory it must show a practical application. A practical application may be demonstrated by either (a) showing a physical transformation or (b) otherwise showing a useful, concrete and tangible result. In the present case, there is no physical transformation occurring, each of the steps is intended to occur with the aid of computer system. There is also no tangible result. Although data is received and manipulation of the data is performed, the result of these steps are never realized in a "real-world" application of communication to the user, thus there is no real-world practical application of the abstract idea (fashion selections).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 8-14, 16, 18-22, 24-33, 35-39 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacFarlane et al. (US 5,311,293) in view of Fabbri et al. (US 4,561,850).

Art Unit: 3715

Claims 1, 16, 27, 33: MacFarlane discloses a method for selecting fashion information for an individual comprising the steps of: providing a style database including complimentary fashion information having cosmetic data (e.g., color charts (fig. 4), swatch packs (fig. 5) and foundation color charts (fig. 6)) and physical characteristic data (e.g., skin color); providing a personal characteristic database (e.g., memory) adapted to receive physical characteristic data for an individual (col. 2, 48-51); providing an input device operable to capture physical characteristic data about the individual (10; color measuring device); capturing with the input device physical characteristic data of the individual; receiving in the personal characteristic database physical characteristic data for a physical characteristic for the individual (Fig. 2; Skin tone of a person's skin are captured and received by the colorimeter.); receiving in the style database a requested result for the individual, as in claim 27 (It is inherent in a system to request a result and receive information based on that request. For example, in using a foundation color chart, a result is requested by the act of retrieving the chart and looking up information in it.); comparing said physical characteristic data for the individual with the style database to identify complimentary fashion selections that are appropriate for the individual based upon the physical characteristic data received in the personal characteristic database; identifying for the individual complimentary fashion selections that are appropriate for the individual based upon the physical characteristic data received in the personal characteristic database (col. 2, 47-63; Hunter b value is used to identify complimentary fashion selections, such as fabric, cosmetics and hair colorants.);

Art Unit: 3715

MacFarlane does not expressly disclose physical characteristic data for at least two physical characteristics for the individual. Fabbri shows this feature to be old in the fashion art. Fabbri teaches two characteristics selected from skin tone and the color of the user's eyes (col. 1, 59-64). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate two physical characteristics selected from the aforementioned groups into the method and system of MacFarlane, in light of the teaching of Fabbri, in order to facilitate the selection of colors for personal grooming.

Claim 5: MacFarlane discloses wherein said cosmetic data comprises at least one cosmetic characteristics selected from a group consisting of: cosmetic brand, cosmetic style, colors (*i.e.*, foundation colors), textures, qualities or chemical qualities.

Claims 6, 17 and 34: MacFarlane does not disclose expressly physical characteristic data is selected from a group consisting of: skin color, skin tone, hair color, eye color, facial shape, body proportions, and body measurements. However, Fabbri teaches two characteristics selected from skin tone and the color of the user's eyes (col. 1, 59-64). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate two physical characteristics selected from the aforementioned groups into the method and system of MacFarlane, in light of the teaching of Fabbri, in order to facilitate the selection of colors for personal grooming.

Claims 8, 24 and 41: MacFarlane discloses wherein said input device comprises a colorimeter (Fig. 1, 10).

Claims 9, 25 and 42: MacFarlane discloses wherein said input device comprises a spectrophotometer (col. 6, 56-57; Color measuring device (10) can be a colorimeter or a spectrophotometer.).

Claims 10, 26 and 43: MacFarlane discloses wherein said input device comprises a computer (15; CPU).

Claims 12, 18, 28 and 35: MacFarlane discloses wherein said style database further comprises clothing information (e.g., fabric).

Claim 13: MacFarlane discloses wherein said clothing information includes clothing data selected from a group consisting of: fabric color data (*i.e., fabric color*) and fabric texture data, size data, and style data.

Claim 14: MacFarlane does not disclose expressly wherein said personal characteristic database is accessible via a computer network. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that having databases accessible via a computer network is old and well known in the art of data distribution. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a database that is accessible via a computer network into the method and system of MacFarlane in order to enable remote access to the databases.

Claims 19, 29 and 36: MacFarlane discloses wherein the clothing information is selected from a group consisting of: clothing size, clothing style, clothing fabric color (i.e., fabric color) and clothing fabric texture.

Claims 20, 30 and 37: MacFarlane discloses wherein the complimentary fashion information comprises cosmetic data (e.g., color charts (fig. 4), swatch packs (fig. 5) and foundation color charts (fig. 6)).

Claims 22, 32 and 39: MacFarlane discloses wherein said fashion selections are selected from the group consisting of cosmetic information, clothing information (e.g., fabric).

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacFarlane/Fabbri as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakamura (US 4,987,552).

MacFarlane does not disclose expressly wherein the style database further comprises instructional data comprising a multimedia presentation or a video presentation. Nakamura shows this feature to be old in the style art. Nakamura discloses personalized cosmetics videos (col. 1, 30-36). Nakamura teaches that women request this information frequently (col. 1, 18-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention from the teaching of Nakamura to modify the style database of MacFarlane/Fabbri by including the instructional data of Nakamura to provide information that women request frequently and to facilitate learning of the proper application of cosmetics to achieve the desired effects.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,520 Page 8

Art Unit: 3715

Claims 7, 23 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacFarlane/Fabbri as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rifkin et al. (US 6,065,969).

MacFarlane/Fabbri does not disclose expressly wherein said input device comprises a digital camera or camera. However, Rifkin teaches such (*col. 3, 58-64*). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad to incorporate a digital camera into the method and system of MacFarlane/Fabbri, in light of the teaching of Rifkin, in order to communicate a digitized picture.

Claims 11, 21, 31 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacFarlane/Fabbri as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thies et al. (US 5,206,804).

MacFarlane/Fabbri discloses applicant's basic inventive concept of a style database, substantially as claimed, but does not expressly disclose said style database further comprises footwear information. Thies shows this feature to be old in the style art. Thies discloses a database containing footwear information (*col.* 6, 66-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention from the teaching of Thies to modify the style database of MacFarlane/Fabbri to include the footwear of Thies to provide more fashion options for the user.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that MacFarlane is limited to the use of only one physical characteristic and specifically that MacFarlane teaches away from the use of other characteristics, the examiner notes the further inclusion of Fabbri in the rejection of the claims. Although MacFarlane bases the determination on one characteristic, it does not expressly disqualify the use of other attributes. Fabbri expressly teaches the desirability of using both skin tone and eye color in making this type of selection in col. 1, 44-52.

Additionally, applicant argues that MacFarlane does not teach capturing actual physical characteristic data from an individual. MacFarlane shows capturing the actual skin tone of a user, as shown in the section cited above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirsch et al. (US 5,643,341) discloses a database on a computer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Andrew Stallard whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 09/910,520 Page 10

Art Unit: 3715

272-2685. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15 am to 6:45 pm - Mon - Fri

(1st Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on (571) 272-4475. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Andrew Stallard Examiner Art Unit 3715 KATHLEEN MOSSER PRIMARY EXAMINER